Senator Rory J. Respicio CHAIRPERSON MAJORITY LEADER

January 4, 2016

Senator Thomas C. Ada VICE CHAIRPERSON ASSISTANT MAJORITY LEADER

Memorandum

Speaker Judith T.P. Won Pat, Ed.D.

**Rennae Meno**Clerk of the Legislature

Member

Senator Rory J. Respicio/

Vice-Speaker Benjamin J.F. Cruz Majority Leader & Rules Chair

Member

Member

Subject: Fiscal Note

Legislative Secretary Tina Rose Muna Barnes Member

Hafa Adai!

To:

From:

Senator Dennis G. Rodriguez, Jr. Attached please find the fiscal note for the bill number listed below. Please note that the fiscal note and fiscal note waivers are issued on the bills as introduced.

Senator Frank Blas Aguon, Jr. Member

## **FISCAL NOTES:**

Senator

Bill No. 212-33(COR)

Michael F.Q. San Nicolas Member Please forward the same to MIS for posting on our website. Please contact our office should you have any questions regarding this matter.

Senator Nerissa Bretania Underwood Member

Si Yu'os ma'åse'!

V. Anthony Ada MINORITY LEADER

Mary C. Torres Minority Member

## Bureau of Budget & Management Research Fiscal Note of Bill No. 212-33 (COR)

A
NA
CT TO
<b>0</b>
AUT
HOR
IZE
THE
AT
TO
RNE
Vί
GEN
NER
AI
T
HE
US
E (
OF
FII
ND
SF
OF
₹ ₽.
XP
ER'
TS
IN
FF
DF
$\langle \mathbf{R} /$
AL.
LIT
$\overline{\Gamma}G$
AT
IOI
N C
AS
ES.

Department/Agency A	ppropriation Information		
Dept./Agency Affected: Office of the Attorney General	Dept./Agency Head: Atty. Gen. Elizabeth Barrett-Ar		
Department's General Fund (GF) appropriation(s) to date:		16,165,153	
Department's Other Fund (Specify) appropriation(s) to date:			
Total Department/Agency Appropriation(s) to date:		\$16,165,153	

Fund Source Information of Proposed Appropriation					
	General Fund:	(Specify Special Fund):	Total:		
FY 2015 Unreserved Fund Balance		\$0	\$0		
FY 2016 Adopted Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0		
FY 2016 Appro. <u>(P.L. 33-66)</u>	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Sub-total:	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Less appropriation in Bill	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0		

		Esti	mated Fiscal Impac	t of Bill		
	One Full Fiscal Year	For Remainder of FY 2016 (if applicable)	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(Specify Special Fund)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

8 8 1			1.1	Yes	/X/	No
,	/X/	N/A	1.1	Yes	1.1	No
If no, what is the additional amount required? \$	/X/	N/A				
Does the Bill establish a new program/agency?			11	Yes	/X/	No
If yes, will the program duplicate existing programs/agencies?	/X/	N/A	1.1	Yes	1.1	No
Is there a federal mandate to establish the program/agency?			1.1	Yes	/X/	No
Will the enactment of this Bill require new physical facilities?			1.1	Yes	/X/	No
5. Was Fiscal Note coordinated with the affected dept/agency? If no, indicate reason:			/X/	Yes	/ /	No
	Does the bill contain "revenue generating" provisions? Yes, see attachment Is amount appropriated adequate to fund the intent of the appropriation? If no, what is the additional amount required? \$  Does the Bill establish a new program/agency? If yes, will the program duplicate existing programs/agencies? Is there a federal mandate to establish the program/agency? Will the enactment of this Bill require new physical facilities? Was Fiscal Note coordinated with the affected dept/agency? If no, indicate re	Yes, see attachment  Is amount appropriated adequate to fund the intent of the appropriation?  If no, what is the additional amount required? \$ /X/  Does the Bill establish a new program/agency?  If yes, will the program duplicate existing programs/agencies?  /X/  Is there a federal mandate to establish the program/agency?  Will the enactment of this Bill require new physical facilities?	Yes, see attachment  Is amount appropriated adequate to fund the intent of the appropriation? /X/ N/A  If no, what is the additional amount required? \$ /X/ N/A  Does the Bill establish a new program/agency?  If yes, will the program duplicate existing programs/agencies? /X/ N/A  Is there a federal mandate to establish the program/agency?  Will the enactment of this Bill require new physical facilities?	Yes, see attachment  Is amount appropriated adequate to fund the intent of the appropriation? /X/ N/A / /  If no, what is the additional amount required? \$ /X/ N/A  Does the Bill establish a new program/agency? //  If yes, will the program duplicate existing programs/agencies? /X/ N/A / /  Is there a federal mandate to establish the program/agency? //  Will the enactment of this Bill require new physical facilities? //	Yes, see attachment  Is amount appropriated adequate to fund the intent of the appropriation? /X/ N/A / / Yes  If no, what is the additional amount required? \$ /X/ N/A  Does the Bill establish a new program/agency? // Yes  If yes, will the program duplicate existing programs/agencies? /X/ N/A / / Yes  Is there a federal mandate to establish the program/agency? // Yes  Will the enactment of this Bill require new physical facilities? // Yes	Yes, see attachment  Is amount appropriated adequate to fund the intent of the appropriation? /X/ N/A / / Yes / /  If no, what is the additional amount required? \$ /X/ N/A  Does the Bill establish a new program/agency? / / Yes /X/  If yes, will the program duplicate existing programs/agencies? /X/ N/A / / Yes / /  Is there a federal mandate to establish the program/agency? / / Yes /X/  Will the enactment of this Bill require new physical facilities? // Yes /X/

/ / Requested agency comments not received by due date // Other:

Analyst: Date: 12/29/15

Joagnin Guerrero, BMA I

 Date: AN 0 4 2016

## Notes:

The proposed legislation intends to amend Sections 2 and 3 of Public Law 33-01 in Seeking continuing authorization of the Attorney General in Fiscal Year 2016 for the utilization of unexpended local appropriation funds of up to \$700,000 from the Office of the Attorney General's FY 2014 approved budget for securing experts in federal litigation cases in the United States District Court of Guam. The unexpended/unobligated balance of FY 2014 appropriations of the Attorney General's Office as of 9/30/2014 is approximately \$720,955 (excluding local matching funds). The fiscal impact in continuing to procure litigation experts for such cases is currently estimated at \$500,000 by the Attorney General's Office.